

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 2009  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S027264****PEOPLE v. FRIEND (JACK  
WAYNE)**

The petition for rehearing is denied.

**S056425****PEOPLE v. DAVIS (RICHARD  
ALLEN)**

The opinion is modified on the court's own motion.  
The petition for rehearing is denied.

**S056425****PEOPLE v. DAVIS (RICHARD  
ALLEN)**

Opinion modified - no change in judgment

The opinion herein, filed on June 1, 2009, and appearing at 46 Cal.4th 539, is modified as follows:

Immediately after the sentence on the bottom of page 595 that states, "Under these extraordinary circumstances, Sergeant Meese's inquiry did not violate the high court's decisions in *Miranda*, *supra*, 384 U.S. 436, and *Edwards*, *supra*, 451 U.S. 477," the following sentence is added: "And, contrary to defendant's contention in his petition for rehearing, nothing in the United States Supreme Court's recent decision in *Montejo v. Louisiana* (2009) 544 U.S. \_\_\_\_ [129 S. Ct. 2079] compels a different conclusion."

This modification does not affect the judgment.

**S152695** C048283/C047502 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. ANDERSON  
(BARRY LANE)**

Request for modification granted  
The opinion is modified.

**S152695** C048283/C047502 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. ANDERSON  
(BARRY LANE)**

Opinion modified - no change in judgment

The majority opinion filed in this case on July 23, 2009, is modified to delete the second and third sentences of footnote 1, on page 2 of the opinion.

There is no change in the judgment.

**S173973**      D052091 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **PEOPLE v. MENA (JOAQUIN)**  
Petition for review granted  
Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

**S174803**      D052915 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **KRINSK (JEFFREY R.) v.  
CHIRON CORPORATION**  
Petition for review granted  
Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, J.

**S163905**      B194358 Second Appellate District, Div. 6      **PEOPLE v. ALBILLAR  
(ALBERT ANDREW)**  
Supplemental briefing ordered  
The court requests each party to file a supplemental letter brief directed to the question of whether the phrase felonious criminal conduct, appearing in Penal Code section 186.22, subdivision (a) should be interpreted to mean felonious criminal gang-related conduct.  
The parties are to file simultaneous letter briefs addressing this point on or before September 15, 2009, and may file simultaneous reply briefs on or before September 25, 2009.

**S173946**      B216250 Second Appellate District, Div. 2      **ANAHEIM, CITY OF v. S.C.  
(PRICELINE.COM, INC.)**  
Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to issue an order to show cause  
The applications to appear as counsel pro hac vice are granted.  
The petition for review is granted.  
The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to issue an order to show cause returnable before that court.  
Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

**S174459**      B216958 Second Appellate District, Div. 3      **LEONARDOPOLIS  
(GEORGE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**  
Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to issue an alternative writ  
The petition for review is granted.  
The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its order denying mandate and to issue an alternative writ of mandate to be heard before that court when the proceeding is ordered on calendar. Pending further order of the

Court of Appeal, trial in the Los Angeles County Superior Court, entitled *People v. George Leonardopolis*, case number BA341287, is hereby stayed, as to George Leonardopolis only.

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

**S113352**

**PEOPLE v. GRAHAM  
(LARRY CHRISTOPHER)**

Appeal abated

Respondent's Motion to Abate Appeal, filed on August 20, 2009, is granted. As indicated in a certified copy of a certificate of death, appellant Larry Christopher Graham died on June 16, 2009. All proceedings in *People v. Larry Christopher Graham*, case no. S113352, are permanently abated, and the Superior Court of California, County of Contra Costa is directed to enter an order to that effect in case no. 990676-9. (*People v. Dail* (1943) 22 Cal.2d 642, 659; *People v. Bandy* (1963) 216 Cal.App.2d 458, 466.)

**S170016**

B194836 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ  
(RICHARDO)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One  
In light of the decision in *People v. Medina* (2009) 46 Cal.4th 913, review in this matter is dismissed.

Votes: George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

**S173430**

E046972 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**ALVAREZ (VICTOR) ON H.C.**

Petition for review denied

**S173673**

B207962 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. CRUZ (SOCHIL  
TRIANA)**

Petition for review denied

**S173738**

B208767 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. MONTANO  
(OMAR)**

Petition for review denied

**S173779**

F052296 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. MORRISON  
(DAVID WAYNE)**

Petitions for review denied

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

**S173820** F054624 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CRUZ (ANIBAL ALONSO)**

**S173832** B203571 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DAVIS (SOLOMON)**

**S173926** F053797 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CALDERON (JOSE ROGELIO)**

**S173928** D051337/D053630 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CARREA, JR., (CHRISTOPHER)**

**S173938** B205884 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petitions for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CHILDS (RAHEEN MARIO)**

**S173939** B215967 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied  
The request for judicial notice is denied.

**VALDEZ (DANNY RICHARD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

**S173968** A124255 First Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**ZACHARATOS (JERRY S.) ON H.C.**

**S173998** B216411 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**SIMS (THOMAS A.) ON H.C.**

**S174004** B216068 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**CORTEZ (JAVIER) ON H.C.**

**S174014**      A124693 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**SCHOENFELD (JAMES) ON  
H.C.**

**S174017**      D054931 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**HANSEN (MICHAEL) ON  
H.C.**

**S174020**      B203442 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DICKERSHAID  
(THOMAS FRANCIS)**

**S174024**      A118552 First Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ  
(JAIME ROBERTO)**

**S174025**      A125032 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**DOUGLAS (KENNETH  
MICHAEL) ON H.C.**

**S174032**      B209512 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**G. (RICHARD), IN RE**

**S174033**      B215490 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

**LIAO (YUN HSENG) ON H.C.**

**S174044**      A124348 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**HAYDON (HAL) ON H.C.**

**S174050**      B200270 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LORTA  
(LORENZO)**

**S174056**      A119516 First Appellate District, Div. 3  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DUREE (DENNIS)**

**S174061**      B197063 Second Appellate District, Div. 8  
  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GARCIA  
(JUANITA CELIA)**

**S174072**      B209174 Second Appellate District, Div. 7  
  
  
Petition for review denied

**METROPOLITAN NEWS  
COMPANY v. CALIFORNIA  
UNEMPLOYMENT  
INSURANCE APPEALS  
BOARD (CISCHKE)**

**S174082**      D054594 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1  
  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. TRAINOR  
(LEONARD EDWARD)**

**S174092**      H032622 Sixth Appellate District  
  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ABUNDIZ  
(MARCO ANTONIO)**

**S174134**      D052743 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1  
  
Petition for review denied  
Werdegar, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

**BALZAGA (JOSE) v. FOX  
NEWS NETWORK, LLC.**

**S174203**      C058818 Third Appellate District  
  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CALDERON  
(JULIO CEASAR)**

**S174231**      A125090 First Appellate District, Div. 5  
  
Petition for review denied

**ACTELION, LTD. v. S.C.  
(ASAHI KASEI PHARMA  
CORPORATION)**

**S174250**      A120971 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**AIR CHINA, LTD. v. COUNTY  
OF SAN MATEO**

**S174276**      B211221 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DOUGLAS  
(RAJOHN CHARLES)**

**S174294**      B204560 Second Appellate District, Div. 7  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JONES (KEVIN)**

**S174337**      B198070 Second Appellate District, Div. 8  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. PRUITT (EARL  
DWAYNE)**

**S174382**      G040452 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MILLER  
(STEPHEN SHAWN)**

**S174389**      B203278 Second Appellate District, Div. 3  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MEZA (MARIO  
LOU)**

**S174466**      A118592 First Appellate District, Div. 5  
Petition for review denied

**FERNANDEZ (SHERYL) v.  
BEATON (BILL)**

**S174490**      C058415 Third Appellate District  
Petition for review denied

**CALIFORNIA ATTORNEYS  
v. SCHWARZENEGGER  
(ARNOLD)**

**S174505**      C062161 Third Appellate District  
Petition for review denied

**JONES (WILLIE RAY) ON  
H.C.**

**S174529** G041065 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CASTELLANOS  
(JEAN PIERRE)**

**S174540**

**YOUNG (CHARLES) v.  
SCHMIDT (GREGORY)/  
(WILSON)**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

The request for judicial notice, filed on July 10, 2009, is granted.

The petition for extraordinary relief, including writ of mandate, is denied.

The motion for leave to intervene, filed on July 16, 2009, is denied as moot.

**S174569** A120349 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petitions for review denied

**PEOPLE v. COOK (IAN)**

**S174609** B199008 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**VIRTUAL MEDIA GROUP,  
INC. v. REGENCY OUTDOOR  
ADVERTISING, INC.**

**S174646** B208643 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. KENNARD  
(VICTOR)**

**S174674** B216863 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**WHITELEY (LEONARD) v.  
WORKERS'  
COMPENSATION APPEALS  
BOARD (CALIFORNIA  
INDEMNITY INSURANCE  
COMPANY)**

**S174676** B199801 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**MCA RECORDS, INC. v.  
ALLISON (J.I.)**



**S174679** G040672 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GORDON  
(LEONARD WAYNE)**

**S174680** E048700 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**HANNAH (MARK TWAIN) v.  
WORKERS'  
COMPENSATION APPEALS  
BOARD (RE/MAX REAL  
ESTATE CONSULTANTS)**

**S174686** B208046 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**MELKONIANS (ARA) v. LOS  
ANGELES COUNTY CIVIL  
SERVICE COMMISSION**

**S174694** B209158 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. OCHOA (LEE)**

**S174699** D053245 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CHHOEUR  
(SAPHEAP)**

**S174730** D054630 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. TERRONES  
(JAVIER)**

**S174732** C058647 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BUCHANAN  
(CHRISTOPHER ALLEN)**

**S174742** D052423 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ROMERO  
(TOMAS J.)**

**S174744** E045469 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ROMERO III  
(MAXIMILLIANO)**

**S174745** F054855 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DEANDA  
(VICTOR LEROY)**

**S174748** F054864 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DEANDA  
(VICTOR LEROY)**

**S174750** B208657 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JONES (COREY  
RONNIE)**

**S174756** G040991 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CANNAN  
(JOSEPH CHARLES)**

**S174757** E044220 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. O'NEILL  
(LAWRENCE CURTIS)**

**S174759** H033003 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RAWLS (DELRAY  
KING)**

**S174761** G040061 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. COMMINEY  
(WALTER CHARLES)**

**S174764**

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file Petition for Writ of Mandate is hereby denied.

**FINK (DAVID) v. S.C.  
(SHEMTOV)****S174768**

A125327 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**TARIN, SR., (STEPHEN) v.  
S.C. (STABILE)****S174776**

D054596 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CLEEK III  
(CHARLES ERNEST)****S174777**

B217291 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**TONG (SHONG-CHING) v.  
S.C. (PEOPLE)****S174779**

D052753 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. REYES (CARLOS  
JAIMEZ)****S174787**

A118980 First Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. APPLGATE  
(THOMAS ARTHUR)****S174788**

C057425 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (JUAN  
CARLOS LOPEZ)****S174792**

E044300 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DEERE, SR.,  
(ARTHUR RAY)**

**S174801** C058264 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. FERREIRA, JR.,  
(EDWARD PETER)**

**S174809** E045617 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. OCHOA  
(OCTAVIO JESUS)**

**S174814** H033293 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GROUX  
(TIMOTHY)**

**S174815** B210469 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**KURANER (LENORE  
JESSUP),  
CONSERVATORSHIP OF**

**S174830** A120100 First Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ, JR.,  
(PETER)**

**S174833** C056995 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BORDERS  
(CARSON CURTIS)**

**S174839** B208956 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**PARK (RICHARD) v.  
COUNTRYWIDE HOME  
LOANS, INC./(FREED)**

**S174840** D053395 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**M. (ENRIQUE) v. V.  
(ANGELINA)**

**S174842** H033104 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SALDANA  
(SAMUEL)**

**S174847** D054751 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MCMORAN  
(RALPH ROBERT)**

**S174854** F055996 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. LEMOS  
(JUVENILE ACEVEDO)**

**S174855** G040474 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MENDOZA (JOHN  
PAUL)**

**S174860** G040733 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HURST (ROBERT  
EARL)**

**S174862** B208433 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CABRERA (JOSE  
LUIS)**

**S174866** B205464 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. WAGNER  
(THOMAS MICHAEL)**

**S174868** B206857 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SPARKS (KEITH  
DELANO)**

**S174872** B207068 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MOODY (FLOYD  
STEVENSON)**

**S174885** E044963 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. DAMIANO  
(DEREK ANTHONY)**

**S174888** D053112 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. NAVARRO  
(PEDRO)**

**S174889** D048259 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**RIVERWATCH v. COUNTY  
OF SAN DIEGO  
DEPARTMENT OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH  
(GREGORY CANYON, LTD.)**

**S174890** G040222 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BEHRENS  
(DEBORAH KAY)**

**S174891** B209319 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. CRIDDELL  
(HASHIM T.)**

**S174898** B204682 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SOMA (APRIL M.)**

**S174900** B203597 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ  
(ARMANDO)**

**S174901** E045443 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

**MEXIA (JESS) v. RINKER  
BOAT COMPANY, INC.**

**S174904**      B207535 Second Appellate District, Div. 4  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ  
(JONATHAN HERNALDO)**

**S174916**      A124609 First Appellate District, Div. 2  
Petition for review & application for stay denied

**SANCHEZ (HECTOR) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

**S174937**      B217264 Second Appellate District, Div. 3  
Petition for review denied

**SHANKAR, M.D. (ARVIND) v.  
S.C. (HONG)**

**S174967**  
  
Petition for writ of review denied

**AJJAHNON (ZOE) v.  
COMMITTEE OF BAR  
EXAMINERS**

**S175018**      C060284 Third Appellate District  
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. PATEL (PAREN  
HASMUKHBHAI)**

**S175059**  
  
Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

**BROWN (JEROME) v.  
COURT OF APPEAL,  
FOURTH APPELLATE  
DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE  
(DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS &  
REHABILITATION)**

**S175133**  
  
Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

**MILLER (MICHAEL JOHN)  
v. SUPREME COURT OF  
CALIFORNIA  
(DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS &  
REHABILITATION)**

The application for stay and petition for writ of mandate are denied.

**S175134** C062128 Third Appellate District  
Petition for review & application for stay denied

**L.H., IN RE**

**S175295** B212797 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**RINGGOLD (NINA) v.  
SANKARY (MYER)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied  
The request for judicial notice is denied.

**S175340** F057294 Fifth Appellate District

**WRIGHT (SHANNON  
CURTIS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**S175369** C061933 Third Appellate District

**BEOUCHAN (KENNETH  
PHILIP) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**S175581** G042446 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**TITONE (BRADFORD) ON  
H.C.**

Petition for review & application for stay denied  
Baxter and Corrigan, JJ., are of the opinion the stay and petition should be granted.

**S115638**

**WEAVER, JR., (WARD  
FRANCIS) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

The petition for writ of habeas corpus, including all claims and subclaims therein, is denied on the merits. In addition:

Claim One: The allegations concerning the alleged failure to disclose information about Rickey Lee Gibson, David Galbraith, and Cecil Sneed are also denied as untimely. (*In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 787.)

To the extent the petition claims the prosecution should have disclosed that David Galbraith had previously been hypnotized, the claim is denied because it was presented in a previous habeas corpus petition. (*In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

Claim Two: The claims of prosecutorial misconduct are denied on the ground that they could have been, but were not, raised on appeal (*In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756) or, regarding the claim concerning the disqualification of Judge Baca, on a pretrial challenge (Code Civ. Proc., §



170.3, subd. (d)). This claim, including all subclaims, is also denied as untimely. (*In re Robbins, supra*, 18 Cal.4th at p. 787.)

To the extent the petition claims trial counsel was constitutionally ineffective, these claims are denied on the ground that they should have been raised in petitioner's first habeas corpus petition. (*In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 774.)

The claim set forth in paragraph B.3 is also denied on the ground that this claim was raised in petitioner's first habeas corpus petition. (*In re Miller, supra*, 17 Cal.2d at p. 735.)

The claim set forth in paragraphs B.9.b.1-2 is also denied because it was raised and rejected on appeal (*In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225) and in petitioner's first habeas corpus petition (*In re Miller, supra*, 17 Cal.2d at p. 735).

Claim Three: The claim concerning the speed with which the jury reached a verdict in the sanity phase, raised in paragraphs C.1.a-j, is denied on the ground that it was raised and rejected on appeal. (*In re Waltreus, supra*, 62 Cal.2d at p. 225.) The claim is also denied as untimely. (*In re Robbins, supra*, 18 Cal.4th at p. 787.)

The claim alleging juror inattention during Dr. Dieticker's testimony, raised in paragraph C.2, is also denied on the ground that it could have been, but was not, raised on appeal (*In re Dixon, supra*, 41 Cal.2d 756) and as untimely (*In re Robbins, supra*, 18 Cal.4th at p. 787).

The claim alleging juror inattention during the reading of the sanity phase jury instructions raised in paragraph C.3 is similarly denied on the ground that it could have been, but was not, raised on appeal (*In re Dixon, supra*, 41 Cal.2d 756) and as untimely (*In re Robbins, supra*, 18 Cal.4th at p. 787).

The claims alleging jurors improperly considered guilt phase evidence during the sanity phase deliberations (raised in paragraph C.4) and considered specialized information (raised in paragraph C.5) are denied as untimely. (*Robbins, supra*, 18 Cal.4th at p. 787.)

Claim Four: With the exception of the claim set forth in paragraph D.15, this claim, and all included subclaims, is also denied on the ground that it could have been, but was not, raised on appeal (*In re Dixon, supra*, 41 Cal.2d 756) and as untimely (*In re Robbins, supra*, 18 Cal.4th at p. 787).

## **S119354**

## **STEVENS (CHARLES) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed September 29, 2003, is denied. All claims except Claim 17 are denied on the merits.

Claim 16 is also denied on the ground it was raised and rejected on appeal. (*In re Harris* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 813, 825; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

Claims 2 and 10 are also denied on the ground that they could have been, but were not, raised on appeal. (*In re Harris* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 813, 825, fn. 3, 829; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

Claim 17 is denied as premature and without prejudice to petitioner's filing of a renewed petition after an execution date is set.

**S160996**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied  
The request for judicial notice is granted.

**JACKSON (KEVIN LAMONT)  
ON H.C.****S166073**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**PIMENTEL (ALEJANDRO)  
ON H.C.****S170179**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

**THOMPSON (TRAVIS RAY)  
ON H.C.****S170397**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**MEJICO (RICARDO) ON H.C.****S170425**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**BARTHOLOMEW (KEVIN)  
ON H.C.****S170545**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**CHAPIN (EDWARD  
DWIGHT) ON H.C.****S171076**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**BALDWIN (JAMES  
LAMONT) ON H.C.****S171104**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**SCOTT (NOEL PHILLIPE)  
ON H.C.****S171291**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**HILL (KENNETH) ON H.C.**

**S171315****TWYNE (SHAWN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171320****HARRIS (WILLIE) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171374****RIVERA (JUAN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

**S171377****SEMIEN (ANTHONY  
MARVELL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171381****WHITLOCK (CAMERON) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171413****EDWARDS (ANTHONY A.)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171416****LASKODI (MARK G.) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

**S171537****SLEDGE (CURTIS LEE) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171682****LETOURNEAU (RONALD R.)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171692****ARREYGUE (MICHAEL) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171704****LOZANO (JOSE ANTHONY)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171709****CAMPBELL (TREMAIN) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

**S171722****HENNON (PERRY MASON)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

**S171725**      A113873 First Appellate District, Div. 5**VALDOVINOS (ADAN) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171729****JONES (CHARLES E.) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171742****NORMAN (WELDON) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

**S171801****BLACKWELL (ANTHONY)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171821****CHARITY (TIMMY O'NEIL)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171924****RICHMOND (TONY) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171932****SCOTT (RODNEY) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171933****MENDEZ (DAVID ALBERTO)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S171935****ALCALA (JOSE L.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S172026****MORENO (JOSE L.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S172027****SIMMS (ERNEST) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S172049****FERGUSON (MICHAEL) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218.)

**S172091****NAVARRO (OSCAR) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S172092****GALVAN (JESUS  
CONTRERAS) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218.)

**S172106****CASTRO (FIDEL ERNESTO)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S172109****ROBINSON (GEORGE) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

**S172112****SNOKE (BRIAN LAWRENCE)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734.)

**S172115****VERDUGO (RICHARD) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

**S172586****LAMBERT (REX L.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

**S173925****GRIGSBY (ANDRE  
MARCELL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S174393****SMITH (RAYMOND WAYNE)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

**S174396****WELLS (DONALD RAY) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

**S174951****MARTINEZ (DAVID) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S175042****FRATUS IV (JOHN  
RICHARD) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S175050****BEAUDOIN (GARY E.) ON  
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S175239****AGUILAR (JUAN CARLOS)  
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S175347****BROOKS (THOMAS) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

**S175373****MOORE (EUGENE EDWARD)  
ON H.C.**

The application for stay and petition for writ of mandate are denied.

**S175393****EDWARDS (ROGER) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**S175485****STEPPE (BERNARD) ON H.C.**

The application for stay and petition for writ of mandate are denied.

**S173848** A120169 First Appellate District, Div. 2

**VANDERHEIDEN (RONALD)  
v. COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S174539** D053584 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**V. (TATIANA), IN RE**

Publication request denied (case closed)

**S174649** E044368/E044372/E044407/E044582/S045018

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. TAYLOR (ERIC  
WAYNE)**

Depublication request denied (case closed)

Chin, J., is of the opinion the request should be granted.

**S152695** C047502/C048283 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. ANDERSON  
(BARRY LANE)**

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The finality of the opinion in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to September 23, 2009.

This order is entered nunc pro tunc as of August 24, 2009, due to clerical error.

**S024046**

**PEOPLE v. O'MALLEY  
(JAMES FRANCIS)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Nanette Winaker's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by September 13, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 14, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S029551**

**PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (JOE  
EDWARD)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Kent Barkhurst's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 21, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 20, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.



**S029843****PEOPLE v. BECK (JAMES  
DAVID) & CRUZ (GERALD  
DEAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Andrew Parnes's representation that he anticipates filing appellant James David Beck's reply brief by July 1, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 23, 2009. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 250 additional days are contemplated.

**S030402****PEOPLE v. TULLY  
(RICHARD CHRISTOPHER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel James S. Thomson's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 15, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 30, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 110 additional days will be granted.

**S044693****PEOPLE v. WALL (RANDALL  
CLARK)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 30, 2009.

**S049741****PEOPLE v. SUFF (WILLIAM  
LESTER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeffrey J. Gale's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by September 23, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 23, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S058734****PEOPLE v. HOLMES (KARL  
DARNELL), MCCLAIN  
(HERBERT CHARLES), &  
NEWBORN (LORENZO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Lance E. Winters's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by January 31, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 27, 2009. After

that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days are contemplated.

**S062259**

**PEOPLE v. SCULLY  
(ROBERT WALTER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Margot Garey's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 26, 2009. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 310 additional days are contemplated.

**S075727**

**PEOPLE v. JOHNSON  
(CEDRIC JEROME)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Marc A. Kohm's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 20, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 30, 2009. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 200 additional days are contemplated.

**S093235**

**PEOPLE v. JOHNSON  
(JERROLD ELWIN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William D. Farber's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 31, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 16, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 80 additional days are contemplated.

**S093456**

**PEOPLE v. THOMAS (ALEX  
DALE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert Derham's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by October 23, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 23, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S094890****PEOPLE v. MANIBUSAN  
(JOSEPH KEKOA)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David S. Adams's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 23, 2009. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

**S097363****PEOPLE v. MERRIMAN  
(JUSTIN JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 9, 2009.

**S099844****PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (JUAN  
VILLA)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 23, 2009.

**S101247****PEOPLE v. VARGAS  
(EDUARDO DAVID)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Russell S. Babcock's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 15, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 19, 2009. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 270 additional days are contemplated.

**S113962****PEOPLE v. PARKER  
(CALVIN LAMONT)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 26, 2009.

**S116307****PEOPLE v. FLORES III  
(ALFRED)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 26, 2009.

**S122611****PEOPLE v. STESKAL  
(MAURICE GERALD)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 23, 2009.

**S155651****ABILEZ (FRANK MANUEL)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General David E. Madeo's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 8, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 8, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S169753**

A120050 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**TVERBERG (JEFFREY) v.  
FILLNER CONSTRUCTION,  
INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of Respondent Fillner Construction, Inc., and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Reply Brief on the Merits is extended to September 10, 2009.

**S173309**

A113020 First Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. ARY, JR.,  
(JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to September 27, 2009.

**S175532****UTILITY CONSUMERS'  
ACTION NETWORK v.  
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION  
(SAN DIEGO GAS &  
ELECTRIC COMPANY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's answers to both petitions for writ of review is hereby extended to 35 days from the date the Fourth District Court of Appeal resolves the Public Utility Commission's transfer request.

**S051451****PEOPLE v. VALENCIA  
(ALFREDO)**

Order appointing Habeas Corpus Resource Center filed

Upon request of condemned prisoner Alfredo Valencia for appointment of counsel, the Habeas Corpus Resource Center is hereby appointed to represent Alfredo Valencia for habeas corpus/executive clemency proceedings related to the above automatic appeal now final in this court.

Any "petition for writ of habeas corpus will be presumed to be filed without substantial delay if it is filed . . . within 36 months" of this date (Supreme Ct. Policies Regarding Cases Arising From Judgments of Death, policy 3, timeliness std. 1-1.1), and it will be presumed that any successive petition filed within that period is justified or excused (see *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 774-782), in light of this court's delay in appointing habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel on behalf of condemned prisoner Alfredo Valencia.

**S082776****PEOPLE v. REED (ENNIS)**

Marsden motion denied

The Appellant Application and Declaration in Support of Request to Supplement Brief, of the Opening Brief, filed on May 18, 2009, is treated as a motion to substitute counsel under *People v. Marsden* (1970) 2 Cal.3d 118 and as such is denied.

**S174636****TATE (CLARENCE  
DEMETRIUS) v. LOS  
ANGELES COUNTY  
DEPARTMENT OF  
CHILDREN & FAMILY  
SERVICES (LOS ANGELES  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S  
OFFICE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

**S175166**

**GOMEZ (ARMAIS A.) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

**S168443**

**RULE 3-410 (RPC)**

Rule adopted as recommended

Rule 3-410 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct, recommended for adoption by the State Bar of California, as set forth in the attachment hereto, is hereby adopted to become effective January 1, 2010.

**S174141**

**CASEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CLIFFORD LEE CASEY, State Bar Number 79859, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. CLIFFORD LEE CASEY is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 90 days of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. He makes restitution to Ida Stewart in the amount of \$500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 2, 2001 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Ida Stewart, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
  - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).);
2. CLIFFORD LEE CASEY must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on December 4, 2008; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CLIFFORD LEE CASEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and

that suspension will be terminated.

CLIFFORD LEE CASEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and furnish satisfactory proof of passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within that same time period.

Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

CLIFFORD LEE CASEY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S174142**

**GONZALES-MADRID ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN EUGENE GONZALES-MADRID, State Bar Number 139455, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. JOHN EUGENE GONZALES-MADRID is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. JOHN EUGENE GONZALES-MADRID must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 18, 2009; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN EUGENE GONZALES-MADRID has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOHN EUGENE GONZALES-MADRID must take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S174143****CARVER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SUZANNE EMIKO CARVER, State Bar Number 188936, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

1. SUZANNE EMIKO CARVER is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment from March 12, 2005 to March 21, 2006);
2. SUZANNE EMIKO CARVER must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision and Order Sealing Documents filed on January 15, 2009; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SUZANNE EMIKO CARVER has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S174145****SINGH ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KHUSHWANT SINGH, State Bar Number 102890, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. KHUSHWANT SINGH is suspended from the practice of law for the first 75 days of probation;
2. KHUSHWANT SINGH must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 24, 2008; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KHUSHWANT SINGH has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KHUSHWANT SINGH must take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-fourth of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. If KHUSHWANT SINGH fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.



**S174146****THOMPSON ON DISCIPLINE****Recommended discipline imposed**

The court orders that LAURA A. THOMPSON, State Bar Number 219999, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

1. LAURA A. THOMPSON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first ninety days of probation, and she will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. She complies with the law office management condition and provides satisfactory proof of compliance to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
  - ii. If she remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, she must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before her suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).);
2. LAURA A. THOMPSON must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 13, 2009; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LAURA A. THOMPSON has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

LAURA A. THOMPSON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of her suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

LAURA A. THOMPSON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for the years 2010, 2011, and 2012. If LAURA A. THOMPSON fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

**S174149****PARTRIDGE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE, State Bar Number 136207, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

1. JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of 90 days, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension; and
  - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)

JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE must take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S174151****MOORE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JASON PAUL MOORE, State Bar Number 214225, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following:

1. JASON PAUL MOORE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. He makes restitution to Arlen Irvin in the amount of \$1,812 plus 10 percent interest per annum from July 19, 2005 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Arlen Irvin, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
  - ii. He makes restitution to Robert and Frances Vasquez in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10

- percent interest per annum from January 7, 2004 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Robert and Frances Vasquez, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- iii. JASON PAUL MOORE must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii)); and
  - iv. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. JASON PAUL MOORE must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

JASON PAUL MOORE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JASON PAUL MOORE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S174153****ANDERSON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WILLIAM BARTLEY ANDERSON, State Bar Number 53070, is disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

WILLIAM BARTLEY ANDERSON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**BAR MISC. 4186    IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE  
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 882)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

